

## REMARKS

Claims 1, 2 and 4-10 are pending in this application. Of the pending claims, the Examiner has rejected Claims 1, 2 and 4-8. Claims 9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, however, Claims 9 and 10 are allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of base claim and any intervening claims.

The Applicant has amended the claims to overcome the Examiner's rejection. Claims 1, 2 and 4-8 are cancelled. Claim 9 is written in an independent form and Claim 10 is dependent on Claim 9. No new matter has been added. Claims 2 and 4-8 are written as New claims 11-16 and depend on Claim 9 and no new matter has been added.

Applicant believes that any amendment or additions to the Claims are supported by the specification, drawing, claim and priority document as filed (See MPEP 201.17).

## Conclusion

Applicant believes he has addressed and responded to every point raised in the Examiner's present action. For the reasons stated above, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of his application.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 24<sup>th</sup> November 2009

/Anthony D. Logan/

Anthony D. Logan, Reg. No. 41,162  
Venable, Campillo, Logan & Mcaney, P.C.  
Attorneys for Applicant  
1938 E. Osborn Road  
Phoenix, Arizona 85016  
(602) 631-9100